

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/781,530	Applicant(s) TAKASAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner James M. Hewitt	Art Unit 3679	

All Participants:

 (1) James M. Hewitt.

 (2) Brenda Holmes.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 2 February 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 3-17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative agreed to amend the specification and claims as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment in order to place the case in condition for allowance.
Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)